IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No.							
Sharon Mueller,							
Plaintiff,							
V.							
Current, USA, Inc. a Minnesota corporation,							
Defendant.							
COMPLAINT							

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Sharon Mueller, by and through counsel, CORNISH & DELL'OLIO, and for her Complaint against the Defendant, states as follows:

Introduction

1. This is an action for wages brought pursuant to the federal Fair Labor Standards Act (29 U.S.C. § 201 *et. seq.*) as well as the Colorado Wage Act Section 8-4-109 Colo. Rev. Stat.

Parties

- Sharon Mueller is a natural person who resides in El Paso County,
 Colorado. At all times relevant to the Complaint, she was employed by Current, USA,
 Inc., as a customer service support lead.
 - 3. Current, USA, Inc., is a Minnesota corporation authorized to do business

in the State of Colorado.

4. At all times relevant to the Complaint the Defendant corporation was an enterprise engaged in commerce within the meaning of the federal Fair Labor Standards Act (29 U.S.C. § 203 (s)(1)) with gross sales of more than \$500,000.00 per year.

Venue

 All acts giving rise to the cause of action occurred in the State of Colorado.

First Cause of Action (for violations of the federal Fair Labor Standards Act 29 U.S.C. § 207)

- 6. Paragraphs 1 through 5 are incorporated herein by reference.
- 7. Sharon Mueller was at all times relevant to the Complaint a nonexempt employee under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act (29 U.S.C. § 201 *et. seq.*).
- 8. Sharon Mueller was employed by Defendant Current, USA, Inc., and its predecessor corporation for 22 years.
- 9. Ms. Mueller was a salaried employee prior to 2006 and her work as a customer service support lead required more than 40 hours of work per week.
- 10. In 2006 Current, USA, Inc., changed Ms. Mueller's employment from salaried to hourly, nonexempt employment.
- 11. Ms. Mueller's job duties did not change when her job status was changed from salaried to hourly, nonexempt.
 - 12. Ms. Mueller continued to work more than 40 hours a week with the

knowledge of her manager.

- 13. Current, USA, Inc., by policy, only allowed employees to submit time records for payment for work in excess of 40 hours a week during weeks when overtime was "authorized" by corporate headquarters.
- 14. Current, USA, Inc. managed its budget by informing midlevel managers that overtime by nonexempt supervisors like Ms. Mueller was "not authorized."
- 15. Current, USA, Inc. also managed its budget by reducing the number of hours nonexempt supervisors like Ms. Mueller were allowed to submit to fewer than 40 in some weeks.
- 16. Current, USA, Inc. did not reduce the amount of work nonexempt supervisors like Ms. Mueller were required to perform during those weeks when they were authorized to submit 40 or fewer hours for payment.
- 17. Ms. Mueller was required to work in excess of 40 hours per week in order to successfully perform her job duties.
- 18. Ms. Mueller submitted time records understating the number of hours she worked, with the knowledge of her manager, during those weeks when overtime had not been "authorized" by corporate headquarters.
- 19. Ms. Mueller's manager knew that she was working more than 40 hours per week and knew that she was required to work more than 40 hours per week to succeed in her job.
 - 20. Ms. Mueller's manager didn't discipline her for working off the clock and

Page 4 of 6

submitting false time records because the amount of work assigned to Ms. Mueller couldn't be completed in 40 hours per week.

- 21. During Sharon Mueller's employment with Current, USA, Inc. she regularly worked in excess of forty hours in a single work week.
- 22. The Defendant corporation failed to pay the Plaintiff for all hours worked in excess of forty in a single work week at one-and-one-half times her regular hourly rate of pay.
- 23. The Defendant corporation's failure to pay Sharon Mueller one and one half times her regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a single work week was in violation of the federal Fair Labor Standards Act (29 U.S.C. § 207).
- 24. The Defendant corporation's violations of the federal Fair Labor Standards Act were willful.

Second Cause of Action (Violation of the Colorado Wage Act)

- 25. Paragraphs 1 through 24 are incorporated herein.
- 26. Plaintiff's employment was terminated by volition of the Defendant employer.
- 27. At the time Plaintiff's employment was terminated Defendant owed Plaintiff wages for overtime worked.
- 28. Plaintiff's wages or compensation for labor or service earned, vested, determinable, and unpaid at the time of her discharge were due and payable upon

discharge.

- 29. Plaintiff's wages or compensation for labor or service earned, vested, determinable, were not paid to her at the time of discharge or thereafter.
- 30. Defendant did not make all of Plaintiff's unpaid wages available and payable immediately as required by C.R.S. § 8-4-109.
- 31. On or about February 1, 2010 Plaintiff made a demand for payment of wages upon the Defendant.
- 32. As of the date of this Complaint Plaintiff has not received payment of wages that were due from the Defendant upon the termination of her employment.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendant corporation in an amount which will compensate her for all hours worked in excess of forty hours in a single work week at one-and-one-half times her regular hourly rate of pay, for liquidated damages as provided for by 29 U.S.C. 216 (b), and attorney's fees and costs as provided for by 29 U.S.C. 216 (b). In addition, Plaintiff demands judgment pursuant to the Colorado Wage Act for wages, penalties of 125% of unpaid wages pursuant to Section 8-4-109 Colo. Rev. Stat., actual costs including attorney's fees and equitable relief as the Court deems appropriate.

Respectfully submitted this 2nd day of March, 2010.

CORNISH & DELL'OLIO

By: s/Donna Dell'Olio
Donna Dell'Olio #10887
431 N. Cascade Avenue, Suite 1
Colorado Springs, CO 80903
Phone: (719) 475-1204
Fax: (719) 475-1264
ddellolio@cornishanddellolio.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

Plaintiff's Address: 2103 Essex Lane Colorado Springs, CO 80909 %JS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Document 1-2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of mitiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS							
Sharon Mueller					Current, USA, Inc., a Minnesota corporation						
(b) County	(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff El Paso (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant El Paso (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Donna Dell'Olio, Cornish and Dell'Olio (719) 475-1204 431 N. Cascade Ave., Ste. 1, Colorado Springs, CO 8090					Attorneys (If Known)						
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				III. C	CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)						
U.S. Gover		ent 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		PTF Citiz	nee				PTF 4	DEF 4	
2 U.S. G over Defenda		4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			r	of Business In Another State			□ 5		
Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 5 Foreign Country											
IV. NATUR		(Place an "X" in One Box Only		Lear	FEITURE/PENALTY	D A NIZ	RUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	T. C.	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable I ☐ 150 Recovery of	Instrument Overpayment ent of Judgment et f Defaulted is ins) Overpayment Benefits s' Suits act oduct Liability ERTY emnation & Ejectment id t Liability	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 400 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 444 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 0 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury- Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury- Product Liability 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO 510 Motions to Vaca Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Ot 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	RY	310 Agriculture 320 Other Food & Drug 325 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 330 Liquor Laws 340 R.R. & Truck 350 Airline Regs. 360 Occupational Safety/Health 390 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 330 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	422 Appea 423 Withdr 28 USC PROPER 820 Copyri 830 Patent 840 Traden 861 HIA (I) 862 Black 863 DIW C 864 SSID 11 865 RSI (4 FEDERAL 870 Taxes or Defe	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark 840 Trademark 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIW C/DIW W (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RS1 (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS — Third Party 26 USC 7609		400 State Reapportionment 410 Antifrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 12 USC 3410 899 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
V. ORIGIN Original Proceeding Original Proceeding											
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23					DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: ☐ Yes ☑ No						
March 2, 20		7.7	SIGNATURE OF A	Jan J	OF RECORD						
RECEIPT#	^	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE			